

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR ARCHITECTURAL/ENGINEERING
INSPECTION SERVICES (RFP 12-002)
(Questions and Answers – Part 2)**

QUESTION 2: Task 2, Work by Contractor, refers to work under Task 1, Item #3, and goes on to mention 6 locations to be assumed for destructive exploratory work at each garage. The intent and purpose of this exploratory work is not defined, since it relies upon the results of the delamination survey not yet performed. Since the exploratory requirements will not be known until the field examination phase is complete, this work cannot be incorporated in our lump sum fee. Task 1, item #6, though, refers to material testing for which core samples will need to be extracted. Is this a typo and Task 2 is supposed to reference #6 (not #3), which discusses material testing, and the exploratory work refers to the extraction of the core samples? If the reference to Item #3 is correct, is Task 2 to be excluded from our fee to be negotiated later?

ANSWER 2: The RFP contains an error. Task 2, item #1 should reference Task 1, item #6, which discusses material testing. The costs for the management of the on-site testing contractor should be included in your proposal; however, the testing and exploratory work costs will be negotiated upon completion of the test results.